

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

BRANCH BANKING AND	)	No. 2:17-CV-1359-DCN-BM
TRUST COMPANY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
NEHEMIAH BRYANT,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**ANSWERS TO LOCAL RULE 26.01 COURT ORDERED INTERROGATORIES**

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Branch Banking and Trust Company, (hereinafter referred to as “BB&T” or “Plaintiff”), by and through his undersigned counsel of record, hereby submits his responses to interrogatories as required by Civil Local Rule 26.01, and states as follows:

- A. State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.**

**ANSWER:** None.

- B. As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or non-jury and why.**

**ANSWER:** The underlying action which Defendant has “removed” is one of foreclosure which was handled non-jury by the Berkeley County (South Carolina) Master In Equity.

- C. State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partner, or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned**

**company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.**

**ANSWER:** BB&T is not a publicly owned company. BB&T is a wholly owned subsidiary of BB&T Corporation and other than BB&T Corporation, no publicly owned company owns ten (10%) percent or more of BB&T. BB&T does not own ten (10%) percent or more of the outstanding shares of any publicly owned company.

**D. State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division). See, Local Civil Rule 3.01.**

**ANSWER:** This matter is not properly within the District Court, but to the extent actions arising in Berkeley County, South Carolina should be assigned to a division, such assignment to the Charleston Division is proper.

**E. Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which *may be* related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases *are* related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on a determination of whether the cases: arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings, or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.**

**ANSWER:** This matter is not related to any other matter filed in United States District Court.

Executed this 14th day of June, 2017 at Columbia, South Carolina.

By: s/Jonathan M. Milling  
Jonathan M. Milling (Fed. ID No. 7754)  
MILLING LAW FIRM, LLC  
2910 Devine Street  
Columbia, SC 29205  
Tel: 803-451-7700  
[jmm@millinglaw.net](mailto:jmm@millinglaw.net)  
Attorney for Plaintiff, Branch Banking and  
Trust Company